## **State of South Dakota**

## SEVENTY-EIGHTH SESSION LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY, 2003

29110772

## SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 10

Introduced by: Senators Kloucek, Kooistra, and Nachtigal and Representatives Gassman, Begalka, Gillespie, and Sigdestad

1	A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION, Advocating the reform of certain agricultural checkoff
2	programs.
3	WHEREAS, all mandatory agricultural checkoff programs that require the producer to fund
4	programs and activities were established to benefit the producer; and
5	WHEREAS, under the present arrangement the boards administering the checkoff moneys
6	are not accountable to producers and have frequently operated to promote the interests of the
7	packers and retailers rather than the producers; and
8	WHEREAS, a recent United States Department of Agriculture study of the beef checkoff
9	indicated that from 1988 to 2002, the producers who paid the one dollar checkoff received 29%
10	less of the consumer beef dollar, while retailers received 32% more of the consumer beef dollar
11	and the packers 50% more; and
12	WHEREAS, the producers have no effective input on how or where the checkoff dollars are
13	spent; and
14	WHEREAS, some checkoff boards have worked to effectively suppress the voices of
15	producers; and

- 2 - SCR 10

- WHEREAS, the United States Supreme Court in a 6-3 decision struck down a mushroom
- 2 checkoff as a violation of the mushroom processors' first amendment right to free speech; and
- WHEREAS, beef, pork, and many other checkoffs are similar in structure to the mushroom
- 4 checkoff; and
- 5 WHEREAS, checkoff funds are being used to promote livestock feeding arrangements that
- 6 are detrimental to independent producers:
- NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Senate of the Seventy-eighth Legislature
- 8 of the State of South Dakota, the House of Representatives concurring therein, that the South
- 9 Dakota Legislature supports court actions that will determine the constitutionality of checkoff
- 10 programs; and
- BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Legislature advocates replacing these checkoffs
- with programs that incorporate the following:
- 13 (1) A democratic system to elect the boards that administer the commodity checkoff
- 14 dollars;
- 15 (2) A mechanism to ensure that there is geographical balance among the checkoff boards;
- 16 (3) A prohibition against bloc voting by any organization on behalf of its members who
- do not vote;
- 18 (4) A system that allows producers to direct where their checkoff dollars are spent; and
- 19 (5) A system that prohibits checkoff funds from being used to promote contract feeding;
- 20 and
- BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if the checkoff programs are not administered in a
- 22 manner consistent with the provisions of this Resolution, the South Dakota Legislature
- 23 advocates:
- 24 (1) A provision whereby producers may opt out of the checkoff;

- 3 - SCR 10

- 1 (2) A regularly scheduled vote by producers to insure that the checkoff program still
- 2 meets with the approval of producers; and
- 3 (3) A system to easily refund checkoff dollars; and
- 4 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that checkoff program dollars are to be used for research,
- 5 education, and promotion purposes.